

Universal hydrodynamics of non-conformal branes

Ingmar Kanitscheider and Kostas Skenderis

*Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Amsterdam,
Valckenierstraat 65, 1018XE Amsterdam, The Netherlands*
I.R.G.Kanitscheider, K.Skenderis@uva.nl

ABSTRACT

We examine the hydrodynamic limit of non-conformal branes using the recently developed precise holographic dictionary. We first streamline the discussion of holography for backgrounds that asymptote locally to non-conformal brane solutions by showing that all such solutions can be obtained from higher dimensional asymptotically locally AdS solutions by suitable dimensional reduction and continuation in the dimension. As a consequence, many holographic results for such backgrounds follow from the corresponding results of the Asymptotically AdS case. In particular, the hydrodynamics of non-conformal branes is fully determined in terms of conformal hydrodynamics. Using previous results on the latter we predict the form of the non-conformal hydrodynamic stress tensor to second order in derivatives. Furthermore we show that the ratio between bulk and shear viscosity is fixed by the generalized conformal structure to be $\zeta/\eta = 2(1/(d-1) - c_s^2)$, where c_s is the speed of sound in the fluid.

1 Introduction

The AdS/CFT correspondence provides a powerful tool to study strongly coupled quantum field theories. In particular, it has become possible to explore strongly coupled finite temperature conformal field theories by analyzing asymptotically AdS black hole backgrounds, identifying the Hawking temperature of the black hole with the temperature of the dual field theory.

Since any interacting field theory locally equilibrates at high enough densities, it is expected that the evolution of long-wavelength fluctuations of strongly coupled field theories is governed by fluid dynamics. Recently, it was shown that solutions to the long-wavelength fluctuation equations around the boosted black D3 brane geometry can be mapped to solutions to non-linear equations of hydrodynamics in the dual strongly coupled conformal field theory [1]. Using the well-known AdS/CFT dictionary, the fluctuations of the metric were shown to be dual to a fluid configuration which is determined by a conserved hydrodynamic stress tensor. Demanding the bulk fluctuations to be smooth in the interior constrained the transport coefficients of the dual stress tensor.

As the hydrodynamic limit is a limit of long wavelength fluctuations, one proceeds hereby in a derivative expansion of the velocity and temperature field of the fluid. In [1] the hydrodynamical energy-momentum tensor was computed to second derivative order. In [2, 3, 4, 5], this connection was further explored for pure gravity in arbitrary dimension, in [6, 7, 8] for Einstein-Maxwell theory in 4+1 dimensions, and in [9] for gravity coupled to a scalar in 4+1 dimensions. All these cases dealt with geometries which are asymptotically AdS.

In this note, we generalize this discussion to non-conformal branes. More precisely we will consider solutions that asymptote locally to the near-horizon limit of Dp -brane ($p \neq 5$) and fundamental string solutions. We will call these backgrounds *asymptotically non-conformal brane* backgrounds. Recall that the near-horizon limit of the Dp -branes corresponds to a decoupling limit [10] so one expects at least for these cases a meaningful gauge/gravity duality and indeed one can set up holographic renormalization for such backgrounds [11, 12]. This makes possible to generalize the map of bulk gravity equations to boundary hydrodynamic equations. Earlier computations of transport coefficients of non-conformal brane backgrounds using linear response theory, following the original work [13, 14] for conformal backgrounds, include [15, 16, 17, 18] (see also [19, 20, 21] for computations of transport coefficients of other non-conformal backgrounds).

In the near-horizon limit, the supergravity solutions of Dp -branes ($p \neq 5$) and funda-

mental strings are conformal to $AdS_{p+2} \times S^{8-p}$ and exhibit a running dilaton. The universal sector of these backgrounds is obtained by dimensionally reducing on the sphere and truncating to $(p+2)$ -dimensional gravity coupled to a scalar. The action and solution can be best analyzed in the *dual frame* in which the equations of motion admit a linear dilaton AdS_{p+2} solution [22]. Actually there is a family of $(d+1)$ -dimensional bulk actions parametrized by the positive parameter σ whose equations of motion admit a linear dilaton AdS solution, namely the metric is exactly AdS_{d+1} and the dilaton is given by a power of the radial coordinate, with the parameter σ determining the power [12]. When expanding solutions which asymptotically (locally) approach such solutions in a Fefferman-Graham expansion, σ turns out to also correspond to the radial power of the normalizable mode.

The main observation of this paper is that for half-integer σ , the $(d+1)$ -dimensional action can be obtained by dimensionally reducing $(2\sigma+1)$ -dimensional pure gravity with cosmological constant on a torus. In particular, any $(d+1)$ -dimensional solution which is asymptotically locally linear dilaton AdS_{d+1} in the dual frame can be lifted to an asymptotically locally $AdS_{2\sigma+1}$ pure gravity solution. Furthermore, the lower dimensional equations of motion depend smoothly on σ , which implies that every solution can be generalized to arbitrary positive σ . In our case we use this fact to obtain a black brane solution which solves the equations of motion with arbitrary σ .

More generally, we show that all holographic results derived in [12], namely counterterms, 1-point functions and Ward identities, can be obtained from their well-known counterparts [23] using this procedure. Note that in [12] we already saw such a relationship between the holographic results for IIA fundamental strings and M2 branes and D4/M5 branes. In these cases however this was a manifestation of the M-theory uplift, whereas it is unclear what is the underlying reason for the more general relation we uncover here.

Non-conformal branes admit a generalized conformal structure both at weak and at strong coupling [24, 25, 26, 12]. For example, for the case of Dp branes, the low-energy world-volume theory, namely maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory in $(p+1)$ dimensions, is Weyl invariant when coupled to a background metric provided the coupling constant is promoted to a background field that transforms appropriately ¹. This invariance leads to a dilatation Ward identity, which is of exactly the same form as the dilatation Ward identity for RG flows induced by a relevant operator. The main difference is that in the latter case the theory flows in the UV to a fixed point and the generalized conformal structure is

¹In a flat background, the generalized conformal structure implies that the theory is invariant under generalized conformal transformations which act not only on the fields in the Lagrangian but also on the coupling constant.

inherited from the conformal structure of the fixed point but in the non-conformal theories the running, within the regime of validity of the corresponding descriptions (weak or strong), is due to dimensionality of the coupling constant. For IIA fundamental strings and D4 branes, which have $\sigma = 3/2, 3$, respectively, a new dimension, the M-theory dimension, opens up at strong coupling and the theories indeed flow to a fixed point. In these cases one can understand the generalized conformal structure as descending from the conformal symmetry of the corresponding M-theory system. The results of this papers connect the generalized conformal structure of all cases to a conformal structure of a theory in higher (albeit non-integral!) dimensions.

Applied to hydrodynamics we can draw the following conclusion. According to [1] and their generalizations, every smooth metric fluctuations around the black brane solution in $(2\sigma + 1)$ -dimensional pure gravity can be mapped to a solution of conformal hydrodynamics with specific transport coefficients in (2σ) dimensions. In a similar manner, metric and scalar fluctuations around the non-conformal black brane with given σ in $(d + 1)$ bulk dimensions will be dual to a solution to non-conformal hydrodynamics in d dimensions. Since every solution of the non-conformal gravity/scalar system can after continuation in σ be uplifted to a solution of the higher dimensional pure gravity system, we conclude that the non-conformal hydrodynamics in d dimensions can be obtained by dimensional reduction of conformal hydrodynamics in (2σ) dimensions and continuation in σ .

An immediate consequence of the fact that the non-conformal hydrodynamic stress tensor can be obtained by dimensional reduction from the conformal stress tensor is that the ratio between bulk and shear viscosity ζ/η is fixed. A different ratio in the non-conformal fluid would uplift to a non-vanishing bulk viscosity in the conformal fluid, which is forbidden by conformal symmetry. A related argument was presented in [21]. Hence the ratio of bulk and shear viscosity in the non-conformal fluid is dictated by the generalized conformal structure. Furthermore the ratio we find, and which was found earlier for Dp-branes in [15, 16, 17], saturates the bound proposed in [17], $\zeta/\eta \geq 2(1/(d - 1) - c_s^2)$, where c_s is the speed of sound in the fluid. This bound was proposed to be universal for strongly coupled gauge theory plasmas, similar to the KSS conjecture [27], $\eta/s \geq 1/4\pi$, for the the ratio between shear viscosity and entropy density. However, there is an important qualitative difference between the two cases. In the latter case, the ratio that saturates the bound, $\eta/s = 1/4\pi$, is obtained by requiring smoothness of the bulk solution in the interior, so it has a dynamics origin, whereas in the latter case, the ratio that saturates the bound, $\zeta/\eta = 2(1/(d - 1) - c_s^2)$, follows from the generalized conformal structure so it is of

kinematical origin.

In [1] and generalizations, the transport coefficients of the conformal hydrodynamic stress tensor were computed by demanding smoothness in the interior. We use the map between conformal hydrodynamics and hydrodynamics of generalized non-conformal branes to predict the form of the stress tensor to second derivative order. In the sequel, we confirm the first order form by an independent bulk calculation. However, instead of using the framework of [1], in which the bulk equations were analyzed in Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates, we use the method of [28] where the black D3 brane fluctuations were analyzed in Fefferman-Graham coordinates. This is advantageous since it allows for a Lorentz covariant expansion, the constraint equations become trivial and reading off the stress tensor from the bulk metric is completely straightforward. Only to fix the coefficients of the dual stress tensor one switches to Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates, which is necessary to ensure the absence of singularities at the horizon of the black brane. We first generalize the discussion of [28] to arbitrary dimension (2σ) and compactify to obtain the non-conformal case for general σ .

This paper is organized as follows. We begin in section 2 by showing that the gravity/scalar system relevant for the non-conformal branes can be obtained by dimensional reduction from pure gravity in $(2\sigma + 1)$ dimensions on a torus and continuation in σ , and discussing the implications of this for holography. In section 3, we apply this reasoning to hydrodynamics and conclude that the hydrodynamics of non-conformal branes can be obtained by dimensional reduction from conformal hydrodynamics. We predict the form of the non-conformal hydrodynamic energy-momentum tensor up to second derivative order, confirm that the KSS bound [27] is saturated and comment on Buchel's bound [17] for the ratio between shear and bulk viscosity. Sections 4 to 6 are devoted to explicitly checking the first order coefficients of the non-conformal energy-momentum tensor by a bulk calculation for the case of flat boundary metric. In section 4, we set up the conformal black brane solution and its non-conformal generalization. In section 5, we bring the black brane solution to Fefferman-Graham coordinates and calculate its first order correction in the derivative expansion. From that we extract the energy-momentum tensor to first derivative order. In section 6, we transform the first order solution to Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates to examine the singularity at the horizon of the unperturbed black brane. Analogously to [28], we find that the solution is only smooth in Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates if the shear viscosity given in the stress tensor saturates the KSS bound. Throughout sections 4 to 6, we often make use of the fact that at any point in the calculation we can obtain the non-

conformal case by dimensional reduction and continuation from the conformal case. Finally we end with conclusions and prospects for future research. In appendix A we discuss the Fefferman-Graham expansion beyond the normalizable mode order and the dependence of the coefficients on the vev of the energy-momentum tensor.

2 Lower dimensional field equations

In the near-horizon limit, the supergravity solutions of Dp -branes and fundamental strings are conformal to $AdS_{p+2} \times S^{8-p}$ and exhibit a running dilaton. There is a Weyl transformation to the *dual frame*, in which the metric is exactly $AdS_{p+2} \times S^{8-p}$ [22]. Reducing the action in the dual frame on the sphere yields [22, 12]

$$S = -L \int d^{d+1}x \sqrt{g} e^{\gamma\phi} [R + \beta(\partial\phi)^2 + C], \quad (2.1)$$

where the constants (L, β, γ, C) depend on the case of interest and are given in [12]. The equations of motions admit a linear dilaton AdS_{d+1} solution

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \frac{d\rho^2}{4\rho^2} + \frac{dz_i dz^i}{\rho}; \\ e^\phi &= \rho^\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where $i = 1, \dots, d$, provided that α and C satisfy

$$\alpha = -\frac{\gamma}{2(\gamma^2 - \beta)}, \quad C = \frac{(d(\gamma^2 - \beta) + \gamma^2)(d(\gamma^2 - \beta + \beta))}{(\gamma^2 - \beta)^2}. \quad (2.3)$$

Taking α instead of β as fundamental parameter we obtain the simpler form

$$\beta = \gamma^2(1 + \frac{1}{2\alpha\gamma}), \quad C = (d - 2\alpha\gamma)(d - 2\alpha\gamma - 1). \quad (2.4)$$

After rescaling the scalar $\phi \rightarrow \phi/\gamma$ the action (2.1) takes the form

$$S = -L \int d^{d+1}x \sqrt{-\hat{G}} e^\phi [R + (1 + \frac{1}{2\alpha\gamma})(\partial\phi)^2 + (d - 2\alpha\gamma)(d - 2\alpha\gamma - 1)], \quad (2.5)$$

with solution (2.2) becoming

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &\equiv \hat{G}_{MN} dx^M dx^N = \frac{d\rho^2}{4\rho^2} + \frac{dz_i dz^i}{\rho}; \\ e^\phi &= \rho^{\alpha\gamma}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

We observe that in any dimension d we have a family of actions of the form (2.5) which depend on the parameter $\alpha\gamma$ and whose equation of motions each admit a linear dilaton

AdS_{d+1} solution of the form (2.6). The limit $\alpha\gamma \rightarrow 0^2$ and the choice $\alpha\gamma = -(d-4)^2/2(6-d)$ correspond to Einstein frame pure gravity with cosmological constant and decoupled Dp-branes with $d = p+1$, respectively. For further reference let us also comment on the slightly non-standard dimensions of solution (2.6) and action (2.5). The AdS radius in (2.6) is absorbed in Newton's constant in the prefactor L of the action (2.5) and furthermore we have length dimensions:

$$\begin{aligned} [\rho] &= 2, & [z^i] &= 1, & [ds^2] &= -2, \\ [R] &= 0, & [\sqrt{-\hat{G}}] &= -d-2, \\ \left[\int d^{d+1}x\right] &= \left[\int d\rho d^d z\right] = d+2, \\ [e^\phi] &= -[L] = 2\alpha\gamma. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Extracting precise boundary theory data from the asymptotics of decoupled non-conformal brane backgrounds requires using holographic renormalization [29], which was developed for Dp-brane backgrounds in [11, 12]. In [12] it was noted that the framework of holographic renormalization could be generalized to arbitrary values of $\alpha\gamma$. With the ansatz for metric and scalar

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \frac{d\rho^2}{4\rho^2} + \frac{g_{ij}(z, \rho) dz^i dz^j}{\rho}, \\ \phi(z, \rho) &= \alpha\gamma \log \rho + \kappa(z, \rho), \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

the field equations following from (2.5) become

$$-\frac{1}{4}\text{Tr}(g^{-1}g')^2 + \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}g^{-1}g'' + \kappa'' - \frac{1}{2\alpha\gamma}(\kappa')^2 = 0, \tag{2.9}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^i g'_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_j(\text{Tr}g^{-1}g') - \frac{1}{2\alpha\gamma}\partial_j\kappa\kappa' + \partial_j\kappa' - \frac{1}{2}g_j^k\partial_k\kappa = 0, \tag{2.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &[-\text{Ric}(g) - 2(\sigma - 1)g' - \text{Tr}(g^{-1}g')g + \rho(2g'' - 2g'g^{-1}g' + \text{Tr}(g^{-1}g')g')]_{ij} \\ &+ \nabla_i\partial_j\kappa - \frac{1}{2\alpha\gamma}\partial_i\kappa\partial_j\kappa - 2(g_{ij} - \rho g'_{ij})\kappa' = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

$$4\rho(\kappa'' + (\kappa')^2) + 2(d - 4\sigma + 2)\kappa' + \nabla^2\kappa + (\partial\kappa)^2 + 2\text{Tr}(g^{-1}g')(\alpha\gamma + \rho\kappa') = 0, \tag{2.12}$$

where differentiation with respect to ρ is denoted with a prime, ∇_i is the covariant derivative constructed from the metric g and $\sigma \equiv d/2 - \alpha\gamma$. Since the field equations are polynomials in ρ we can conclude that $g(z, \rho)$ and $\kappa(z, \rho)$ are regular functions of ρ and expand them in powers of ρ . Inserting these expressions in the equations of motion yields algebraic expressions for the subleading terms $g_{(2n>0)}$ and $\kappa_{(2n>0)}$ in terms of the sources $g_{(0)}$ and

²To be precise this is only a well-defined limit of the action if we first rescale the scalar $\phi \rightarrow \alpha\gamma\phi$ before taking $\alpha\gamma \rightarrow 0$.

$\kappa_{(0)}$, until order $n = \sigma \equiv d/2 - \alpha\gamma$, at which only the divergence of $g_{(2\sigma)}$ and the combination $\text{Tr}g_{(2\sigma)} + 2\kappa_{(2\sigma)}$ is determined. Furthermore, if σ is integer, we have to introduce logarithmic terms at order σ to fulfill the equations of motion:

$$\begin{aligned} g(z, \rho) &= g_{(0)}(z) + \rho g_{(2)}(z) + \dots + \rho^\sigma (g_{(2\sigma)}(z) + h_{(2\sigma)}(z) \log \rho) + \dots, \\ \kappa(z, \rho) &= \kappa_{(0)}(z) + \rho \kappa_{(2)}(z) + \dots + \rho^\sigma (\kappa_{(2\sigma)}(z) + \tilde{\kappa}_{(2\sigma)}(z) \log \rho) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Compared to pure gravity AdS, where $\sigma = d/2$, the power of the non-local term is shifted by $-\alpha\gamma$; this corresponds precisely to the additional factor e^ϕ in the action (2.5) ensuring that all counterterms can still be defined as local functionals of the sources and that the non-local terms $g_{(2\sigma)}$ and $\kappa_{(2\sigma)}$ contribute only to the finite part of the regularized action. The presence of the logarithmic terms in (2.13) appearing for σ integer corresponds precisely to the presence of an anomaly [30] in the generalized conformal Ward identity of the dual theory:

$$\langle T_i^i \rangle + 2\alpha\gamma \langle \mathcal{O}_\phi \rangle = \mathcal{A}. \quad (2.14)$$

We will now present a new and much simpler derivation of the holographic results for the non-conformal branes. Let us first consider the case of half integer $\sigma > d/2$. In this case the action (2.5) can be obtained by reducing $(2\sigma + 1)$ -dimensional gravity with cosmological constant $\Lambda = -\sigma(2\sigma - 1)$ on a $(2\sigma - d)$ -dimensional torus with the reduction ansatz

$$ds^2 = ds_{(d+1)}^2(\rho, z) + e^{\frac{2\phi(\rho, z)}{2\sigma - d}} dy_a dy^a, \quad (2.15)$$

where $a = 1, \dots, (2\sigma - d)$ runs over the torus directions. The Ricci scalar and the action reduce as

$$\begin{aligned} R_{2\sigma+1} &= R_{d+1} - 2\nabla^2 \phi - \frac{2\sigma - d + 1}{2\sigma - d} (\partial\phi)^2, \\ S &= -L_{AdS} \int d^{2\sigma+1}x \sqrt{-g_{2\sigma+1}} (R_{2\sigma+1} + 2\sigma(2\sigma - 1)) \\ &= -L_{AdS} (2\pi R_y)^{2\sigma-d} \int d^{d+1}x \sqrt{-g_{d+1}} e^\phi (R_{d+1} + \frac{2\sigma - d - 1}{2\sigma - d} (\partial\phi)^2 + 2\sigma(2\sigma - 1)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

where the (in our conventions) dimensionless prefactor L_{AdS} of the $(2\sigma + 1)$ -dimensional pure gravity action is given by

$$L_{AdS} = \frac{l_{AdS}^{2\sigma-1}}{16\pi G_{2\sigma+1}}, \quad (2.17)$$

with l_{AdS} the radius of the $(2\sigma + 1)$ -dimensional AdS space, $G_{2\sigma+1}$ Newton's constant in $(2\sigma + 1)$ dimensions and R_y the radius of the torus. Given that $\sigma = d/2 - \alpha\gamma$ the last line in (2.16) can easily be seen to be proportional to (2.5) and thus lead to the same equation of

motions. Furthermore one can make the prefactors match by choosing a torus radius R_y so that

$$L = L_{AdS}(2\pi R_y)^{2\sigma-d}. \quad (2.18)$$

Thus, since for half-integer $\sigma > d/2$ the action can be obtained by dimensional reduction, local counterterms for the action (2.5) can be obtained by reducing the local $AdS_{(2\sigma+1)}$ counterterms.

Furthermore, the generalized conformal Ward identity (2.14) can also be shown to be the dimensional reduction of the conformal Ward identity of $AdS_{(2\sigma+1)}$. In the conformal case, the vev of the energy-momentum tensor is given by [23],

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{2\sigma} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g_{(0),2\sigma}}} \frac{\delta S_{ren}}{\delta g_{(0)}^{\mu\nu}} = 2\sigma L_{AdS} g_{(2\sigma)\mu\nu} + \dots, \quad (2.19)$$

where S_{ren} denotes the renormalized on-shell action and the dots denote terms that locally depend on $g_{(0)\mu\nu}$. These terms are present when $g_{(0)\mu\nu}$ is curved and there is a conformal anomaly, i.e. when σ is an integer. They do not play an important role in the discussion here and so they will be suppressed. When relating the vev in (2.19) to the vev of the dimensionally reduced theory, we have to account for the additional prefactor $(2\pi R_y)^{2\sigma-d}$ of the lower-dimensional action in (2.16) which results from the integration over the torus and for the change in the determinant of the metric in the definition of the vev, $\sqrt{g_{(0),d}} = e^{-\kappa_{(0)}} \sqrt{g_{(0),2\sigma}}$. One obtains

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\kappa_{(0)}} (2\pi R_y)^{2\sigma-d} \langle T_{ij} \rangle_{2\sigma} &= 2\sigma L e^{\kappa_{(0)}} g_{(2\sigma)ij} + \dots = \langle T_{ij} \rangle_d, \\ e^{\kappa_{(0)}} (2\pi R_y)^{2\sigma-d} \langle T_{ab} \rangle_{2\sigma} &= 2\sigma L e^{\kappa_{(0)}} g_{(2\sigma)ab} + \dots \\ &= 2\sigma L e^{\kappa_{(0)}} \left(e^{2\kappa_{(0)}/(2\sigma-d)} \right)_{(2\sigma)} \delta_{ab} + \dots \\ &= \frac{4\sigma L}{2\sigma-d} e^{(1+2/(2\sigma-d))\kappa_{(0)}} \kappa_{(2\sigma)} \delta_{ab} + \dots \\ &= -\langle \mathcal{O}_\phi \rangle_d e^{2\kappa_{(0)}/(2\sigma-d)} \delta_{ab}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

where the dots again contain curvatures of the boundary metric $g_{(0)ij}$ and derivatives of $\kappa_{(0)}$ and we used in the last line the results of [12] for the vev of the scalar operator,

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_\phi \rangle_d = -\frac{4\sigma L}{2\sigma-d} e^{\kappa_{(0)}} \kappa_{(2\sigma)} + \dots \quad (2.21)$$

The conformal Ward identity $\langle T_\mu^\mu \rangle_{2\sigma} = \mathcal{A}_{2\sigma}$ then reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\kappa_{(0)}} (2\pi R_y)^{d-2\sigma} \left(\langle T_i^i \rangle_{2\sigma} + g_{(0)}^{ab} \langle T_{ab} \rangle_{2\sigma} \right) &= \langle T_i^i \rangle_d - (2\sigma-d) \langle \mathcal{O}_\phi \rangle_d \\ &= e^{-\kappa_{(0)}} (2\pi R_y)^{d-2\sigma} \mathcal{A}_{2\sigma} \equiv \mathcal{A}_d, \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

which is indeed equal to (2.14). The most efficient way to incorporate all local terms in the analysis (which are denoted by dots here) is to use the Hamiltonian formulation of holographic renormalization [31, 32] and dimensionally reduce the results. This has been discussed in detail for the case of D4 brane (which is related to M5 by the M-theory lift) in [12].

Thus we find that local counterterms, 1-point functions and the generalized conformal Ward identity for half-integer $\sigma > d/2$ can be obtained by dimensional reduction. From the lower-dimensional point of view however, σ is just a parameter of the theory on which the equations of motion depend smoothly. Therefore local counterterms, 1-point functions and generalized conformal Ward identities should also exist for positive, but non-integer $\sigma > d/2$.

The reduction argument yields the following prescription to obtain the counterterms to (2.5) with $\sigma > d/2$ from AdS -counterterms. Choose any half-integer $\tilde{\sigma} > \sigma$ and determine the $[\sigma] + 1$ most singular $AdS_{(2\tilde{\sigma}+1)}$ -counterterms as a function of $\tilde{\sigma}$, where $[\sigma]$ denotes the largest integer less than or equal to σ (when σ is an integer one of these counterterms is logarithmic). Reducing these $AdS_{(2\tilde{\sigma}+1)}$ -counterterms on a $(2\tilde{\sigma} - d)$ -dimensional torus and replacing $\tilde{\sigma}$ by σ yields the counterterms appropriate for (2.5).

As an example we rederive the counterterm action found in [12] for $1 < \sigma < 2$, which encompasses the cases of D0/1/2 branes and of the fundamental string, for which $\sigma = \{7/5, 3/2, 5/3, 3/2\}$ and $d = \{1, 2, 3, 2\}$ respectively. Since $\sigma < 2$ we only need two counterterms. The two most singular counterterms in $AdS_{2\tilde{\sigma}+1}$ defined on a regulating hypersurface are given by (see appendix B of [23])³

$$S^{ct} = L_{AdS} \int_{\rho=\epsilon} d^{2\tilde{\sigma}} x \sqrt{-\gamma_{2\tilde{\sigma}}} \left[2(2\tilde{\sigma} - 1) + \frac{1}{2\tilde{\sigma} - 2} \hat{R}[\gamma_{2\tilde{\sigma}}] \right], \quad (2.23)$$

where $\gamma_{2\tilde{\sigma}ij}$ is the induced metric on the $(2\tilde{\sigma})$ -dimensional hypersurface and $\hat{R}[\gamma_{2\tilde{\sigma}}]$ the corresponding curvature. The curvature on the hypersurface reduces to d dimensions as

$$\hat{R}_{2\tilde{\sigma}} = \hat{R}_d[\gamma] - 2\hat{\nabla}^2 \phi - \frac{2\tilde{\sigma} - d + 1}{2\tilde{\sigma} - d} (\partial_i \phi)^2. \quad (2.24)$$

The counterterm action to (2.5) for $1 < \sigma < 2$ is then given by reducing (2.23) to d dimensions and replacing $\tilde{\sigma}$ with σ ,

$$S^{ct} = L \int_{\rho=\epsilon} d^d x \sqrt{-\gamma_d} e^\phi \left[2(2\sigma - 1) + \frac{1}{2\sigma - 2} (\hat{R}_d + \frac{2\sigma - d - 1}{2\sigma - d} (\partial_i \phi)^2) \right], \quad (2.25)$$

which agrees with formula (5.74) of [12]. The remaining case, i.e. the case of D4 branes has $\sigma = 3$ and the counterterm action also follows in the same manner (i.e. from the

³Note that convention for the curvature tensor used in [23] has the opposite sign.

gravitational counterterms for AdS_7), as discussed in detail in [12]. Finally, let us comment on the restriction $\sigma > d/2$. At $\sigma = d/2$ the action (2.16) has a pole and the kinetic term of the scalar becomes negative in the interval $(d-1)/2 < \sigma < d/2$ so one should use the reduction argument when $\sigma < d/2$ with caution. Note also that for D6 branes, which do not have a sensible decoupling limit, $\sigma = -1$.

For later convenience let us finally mention that one can always formally recover the $(2\sigma + 1)$ -dimensional equation of motion for the metric in the conformal case from the non-conformal case by setting the scalar to zero. The conformal version of (2.9) - (2.11) reads

$$-\frac{1}{4}\text{Tr}(g^{-1}g')^2 + \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}g^{-1}g'' = 0, \quad (2.26)$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^\mu g'_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\nu(\text{Tr}g^{-1}g') = 0, \quad (2.27)$$

$$[-\text{Ric}(g) - 2(\sigma - 1)g' - \text{Tr}(g^{-1}g')g + \rho(2g'' - 2g'g^{-1}g' + \text{Tr}(g^{-1}g')g')]_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (2.28)$$

where from now on we use transverse indices $\mu, \nu, \dots$ for the conformal case and transverse indices $i, j, \dots$ for the non-conformal case.

3 Universal Hydrodynamics

The hydrodynamic energy-momentum tensor for a conformal fluid at first-derivative order in (2σ) dimensions on a curved manifold with metric $g_{(0)\mu\nu}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu\nu} &= L_{AdS} \left(\frac{2\pi T}{\sigma} \right)^{2\sigma} (g_{(0)\mu\nu} + 2\sigma u_\mu u_\nu) - 2\eta_{2\sigma}(T)\sigma_{\mu\nu}, \\ \sigma_{\mu\nu} &= P_\mu^\kappa P_\nu^\lambda \nabla_{(\kappa} u_{\lambda)} - \frac{1}{2\sigma - 1} P_{\mu\nu}(\nabla \cdot u), \quad P_{\mu\nu} = g_{(0)\mu\nu} + u_\mu u_\nu, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where T , u_μ and $\eta_{2\sigma}(T)$ denote the temperature, velocity and shear viscosity respectively of the fluid and ∇_i is the covariant derivative corresponding to the metric $g_{(0)ij}$. For given $\eta_{2\sigma}(T)$ the evolution of the fluid is determined by the conservation of the energy-momentum tensor,

$$\nabla^\mu T_{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Furthermore, the conformal Ward identity $T_\mu^\mu = 0$ constrains energy density ϵ and pressure p to be related by the equation of state

$$p = L_{AdS} \left(\frac{2\pi T}{\sigma} \right)^{2\sigma} = \frac{1}{2\sigma - 1} \epsilon. \quad (3.3)$$

Since we saw above that the bulk equations of motion for a non-conformal geometry with given σ can be obtained by dimensional reduction of $(2\sigma + 1)$ -dimensional gravity on a

$(2\sigma - d)$ -dimensional torus, we can perform the same procedure on the boundary to obtain the hydrodynamic energy-momentum tensor dual to a non-conformal black brane solution with given σ in d dimensions. Demanding that $T_{\mu\nu}$ in (3.1) only depends on non-compact directions and that the fluid velocity $u^\mu = (u^i, 0)$ only has non-zero non-compact components yields

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ij} &= Le^{\kappa(0)} \left(\frac{2\pi T}{\sigma} \right)^{2\sigma} (g_{(0)ij} + 2\sigma u_i u_j) - 2\eta_d \sigma_{ij} - \zeta_d P_{ij} (\nabla \cdot u), \\ \langle \mathcal{O}_\phi \rangle &= -Le^{\kappa(0)} \left(\frac{2\pi T}{\sigma} \right)^{2\sigma} - \frac{2}{2\sigma - 1} \eta_d (\nabla \cdot u), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{ij} &= P_i^k P_j^l \nabla_{(k} u_{l)} - \frac{1}{d-1} P_{ij} (\nabla \cdot u), & P_{ij} &= g_{(0)ij} + u_i u_j, \\ \eta_d &= (2\pi R_y)^{2\sigma-d} e^{\kappa(0)} \eta_{2\sigma}, \\ \zeta_d &= \frac{2(2\sigma - d)}{(d-1)(2\sigma - 1)} \eta_d, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

with η_d and ζ_d shear and bulk viscosity respectively of the d -dimensional fluid. The conformal conservation equation (3.2) reduces to [12]

$$\nabla^i T_{ij} - \partial_j \kappa_{(0)} \langle \mathcal{O}_\phi \rangle = 0, \quad (3.6)$$

where $\langle \mathcal{O}_\phi \rangle$ is again the expectation value of the operator dual to ϕ . Since we would like the evolution of the d -dimensional fluid to be described purely by a divergence equation, we demand that $\kappa_{(0)}$ is constant or without loss of generality zero. Moreover note that the d -dimensional non-conformal fluid obeys the same equation of state (3.3) as the (2σ) -dimensional conformal fluid.

In (3.5) we observe that $\eta_d(T)$ as function of the temperature in the non-conformal theory is proportional to $\eta_{2\sigma}(T)$ in the higher dimensional conformal theory. As we will check below, smoothness of the bulk solution forces the viscosity to saturate the KSS bound [27]

$$\frac{\eta_d}{s_d} = \frac{\eta_{2\sigma}}{s_{2\sigma}} \geq \frac{1}{4\pi}, \quad (3.7)$$

where the entropy density s_d corresponding to (3.4) is given by

$$s_d = 2\sigma L \left(\frac{2\pi}{\sigma} \right)^{2\sigma} T^{2\sigma-1}, \quad (3.8)$$

and the dimensionful L is related to the dimensionless L_{AdS} via (2.18). More generally, we see that any fluid which is related to a conformal fluid satisfying the KSS bound by dimensional reduction will satisfy the KSS bound as well.

Furthermore we note that in (3.5), the bulk viscosity ζ_d is determined by the shear viscosity η_d . In [17] it was conjectured that the ratio of bulk to shear viscosity of a strongly coupled gauge theory plasma satisfies the bound⁴

$$\frac{\zeta_d}{\eta_d} \geq 2\left(\frac{1}{d-1} - c_s^2\right), \quad (3.9)$$

where c_s denotes the speed of sound. In our case c_s can be calculated from the equation of state (3.3) to be

$$c_s = \sqrt{\frac{\partial p}{\partial \epsilon}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\sigma - 1}}. \quad (3.10)$$

Hence we see that the last line in (3.5) implies that the bound (3.9) is saturated for arbitrary σ . This confirms the calculation of [16, 15, 17], in which this result was obtained for black Dp-branes for $p = 2, \dots, 6$ and their toroidal compactifications. Note however that (3.9) will be saturated for any fluid which arises from dimensional reduction and continuation in dimension of a conformal fluid, irrespective of the value of η/s . In particular we did not have to assume that the dual bulk solution is smooth. Thus in this case the ratio ζ/η is fixed kinematically. This indicates that this case is qualitatively different than the case of η/s .

Combining (3.5) and (3.7) we obtain the bound

$$\frac{\zeta_d}{s_d} \geq \frac{2\sigma - d}{2\pi(d-1)(2\sigma-1)}. \quad (3.11)$$

which should hold for all non-conformal fluids that can be related to a (2σ) -dimensional conformal fluid (with (2σ) non necessarily integral), as discussed above.

We can also obtain to second order the coefficients of the non-conformal energy-momentum tensor from the coefficients of the conformal energy-momentum tensor. It was argued in [33] that the second order contribution to the conformal energy-momentum tensor is given by a linear combination of all possible Weyl invariants containing two derivatives,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{2\mu\nu} = & 2\eta_{2\sigma}\tau_M \left[(u \cdot \nabla)\sigma_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2\sigma-1}\sigma_{\mu\nu}(\nabla \cdot u) \right] \\ & + \tilde{\kappa} \left[R_{\mu\nu} - (2\sigma-2)u^\kappa u^\lambda R_{\kappa\langle\mu\nu\rangle\lambda} \right] \\ & + 4\lambda_1 \sigma_{\kappa\langle\mu}\sigma_{\nu\rangle}{}^\kappa + 2\lambda_2 \sigma_{\kappa\langle\mu}\Omega_{\nu\rangle}{}^\kappa + \lambda_3 \Omega_{\kappa\langle\mu}\Omega_{\nu\rangle}{}^\kappa, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where $R_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}$ and $R_{\mu\nu}$ are Riemann and Ricci tensor of the metric $g_{(0)\mu\nu}$, angle brackets denote the transverse traceless part of a second rank tensor $A_{\mu\nu}$,

$$A_{\langle\mu\nu\rangle} = \frac{1}{2}P_\mu^\kappa P_\nu^\lambda (A_{\kappa\lambda} + A_{\lambda\kappa}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma-1}P_{\mu\nu}P^{\kappa\lambda}A_{\kappa\lambda}, \quad (3.13)$$

⁴Note that unlike the η/s bound, this bound has known counterexamples in weakly coupled systems, e.g. monatomic gases [17].

and the vorticity $\Omega_{\mu\nu}$ is given by

$$\Omega_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} P_\mu^\kappa P_\nu^\lambda (\nabla_\kappa u_\lambda - \nabla_\lambda u_\kappa). \quad (3.14)$$

Note also that with notation (3.13) the shear tensor $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$ can be written as

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_{\langle\mu} u_{\nu\rangle}. \quad (3.15)$$

Again, we can obtain the non-conformal second order energy-momentum tensor with given σ by reducing (3.12) on a $(2\sigma - d)$ -dimensional torus. The result can be obtained by replacing all tensors with angle brackets by

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\langle\mu\nu\rangle} &\rightarrow A_{\langle ij\rangle} + \frac{2\sigma - d}{(d-1)(2\sigma-1)} P_{ij} P^{kl} A_{kl}, \\ \sigma_{\mu\nu} &\rightarrow \sigma_{ij} + \frac{2\sigma - d}{(d-1)(2\sigma-1)} P_{ij} (\nabla \cdot u), \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

where $A_{\langle ij\rangle}$ in the first line on the right hand side is defined as transverse traceless part in the lower dimensional theory,

$$A_{\langle ij\rangle} = \frac{1}{2} P_i^k P_j^l (A_{kl} + A_{lk}) - \frac{1}{d-1} P_{ij} P^{kl} A_{kl}. \quad (3.17)$$

The Riemann tensor, Ricci tensor, Ricci scalar and vorticity reduce trivially,

$$R_{ijkl}^{2\sigma} = R_{ijkl}^d, \quad R_{ij}^{2\sigma} = R_{ij}^d, \quad R^{2\sigma} = R^d, \quad \Omega_{ij}^{2\sigma} = \Omega_{ij}^d, \quad (3.18)$$

since we demanded that $\kappa_{(0)} = 0$. The components of the Riemann and Ricci tensor in the internal directions of the torus do not contribute to the d -dimensional energy-momentum tensor. Finally the d -dimensional energy momentum tensor gets multiplied by the overall factor $(2\pi R_y)^{2\sigma-d}$ which stems from the torus volume factor multiplying the $(d+1)$ -dimensional bulk action.

4 Generalized black branes

In [1] it was shown that the long wavelength fluctuation equations around the boosted black D3 brane geometry in Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates can be mapped to the non-linear equations of hydrodynamics of the dual strongly coupled conformal field theory. In [28] it was pointed out that it can be advantageous to perform the same analysis in Fefferman-Graham coordinates, since it allows for a Lorentz covariant expansion, the constraint equations become trivial and reading off the stress tensor from the bulk metric is completely straightforward. Furthermore, one can construct bulk solutions dual to an arbitrary hydrodynamic boundary stress tensor. On the other hand, irrespectively of the precise values

of the coefficients of the energy-momentum tensor, the Fefferman-Graham coordinates will have a singularity at the (unperturbed) horizon. To find out whether this singularity is a coordinate singularity or a real one requires to transform to Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates. Only requiring smoothness in Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates away from the singularity of the static black brane fixes the coefficients in the boundary stress tensor to the values found in [1].

Here we will generalize the analysis of [28] to non-conformal geometries with AdS -solution in the dual frame and arbitrary positive σ . As a first step, we generalize it to pure gravity in arbitrary dimension $(2\sigma + 1)$ for half-integer σ and then invoke the reduction argument of section 2 to obtain the case of a non-conformal geometry with arbitrary positive σ in dimension d . Throughout the rest of the paper we assume the boundary metric $g_{(0)ij} = \eta_{ij}$ to be flat and $\kappa_{(0)}$ to be constant or without loss of generality zero.

For half-integer σ , the $(2\sigma + 1)$ -dimensional pure gravity action in (2.16) has the black brane solution

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \frac{d\rho^2}{4\rho^2 f_b(\rho)} + \frac{-f_b(\rho)dt^2 + dz_r dz_r}{\rho}, \\ f_b(\rho) &= 1 - \frac{\rho^\sigma}{b^{2\sigma}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where r runs over spatial transverse coordinates and b is related to the black brane temperature by

$$b = \frac{\sigma}{2\pi T}. \quad (4.2)$$

After boosting the geometry (4.1) with the boost parameter u_μ we obtain the metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{d\rho^2}{4\rho^2 f_b(\rho)} + \frac{[\eta_{\mu\nu} + (1 - f_b(\rho))u_\mu u_\nu]dz^\mu dz^\nu}{\rho}, \quad (4.3)$$

which solves the equation of motions as long as b and u_μ are constants, with b and u_μ mapped to the to the dual (inverse) temperature and velocity of the fluid. However, once we allow the temperature in the definition of b in (4.2) and u_μ to become z -dependent,

$$ds^2 = \frac{d\rho^2}{4\rho^2 f_{b(z)}(\rho)} + \frac{[\eta_{\mu\nu} + (1 - f_{b(z)}(\rho))u_\mu(z)u_\nu(z)]dz^\mu dz^\nu}{\rho}, \quad (4.4)$$

we have to correct the metric (4.4) at each order in the derivative expansion to still fulfill the equations of motions. The corrections to the metric then determine the dissipative part of the hydrodynamic energy-momentum tensor.

The non-conformal generalization of (4.4) can again be obtained by compactification. We split the transverse coordinates $z^\mu = (z^i, y^a)$ in non-compact and torus directions and

demand that the metric only depends on non-compact directions and that the fluid velocity $u^\mu = (u^i, 0)$ has only non-zero non-compact components. This enables us to reduce using the reduction ansatz (2.15) to obtain for metric and scalar

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \frac{d\rho^2}{4\rho^2 f_b(\rho)} + \frac{[\eta_{ij} + (1 - f_{b(z)}(\rho))u_i(z)u_j(z)]dz^i dz^j}{\rho}, \\ e^\phi &= \rho^{\alpha\gamma}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

It can be checked explicitly that this is a solution of the equations of motion following from the $(d+1)$ -dimensional action (2.5) for arbitrary σ .

5 Generalized black branes in Fefferman-Graham coordinates

Before computing the derivative corrections to the boosted brane solution (4.5) by perturbing around equations (2.9) - (2.12), we change to Fefferman-Graham coordinates, in which the solution takes the form (2.8). Again, to keep the discussion as concise as possible, we first discuss the conformal case in arbitrary dimension and compactify to obtain the non-conformal case. In both cases, we obtain Fefferman-Graham coordinates by a redefinition of the radial coordinate:

$$\tilde{\rho}(\rho) = \left(\frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{f_b(\rho)}} \right)^{2/\sigma} \rho, \quad (5.1)$$

whose inverse transformation is

$$\rho(\tilde{\rho}) = \left(1 + \frac{\tilde{\rho}^\sigma}{4b^{2\sigma}} \right)^{-2/\sigma} \tilde{\rho}. \quad (5.2)$$

The metric (4.4) corresponding to the conformal fluid becomes

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \frac{d\tilde{\rho}^2}{4\tilde{\rho}^2} + \frac{g(z, \tilde{\rho})_{\mu\nu} dz^\mu dz^\nu}{\tilde{\rho}}, \\ g(z, \tilde{\rho})_{\mu\nu} &= A(\tilde{\rho})\eta_{\mu\nu} + B(\tilde{\rho})u_\mu u_\nu, \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(\tilde{\rho}) &= \frac{\tilde{\rho}}{\rho(\tilde{\rho})} = \left(1 + \frac{\tilde{\rho}^\sigma}{4b^{2\sigma}} \right)^{2/\sigma}, \\ B(\tilde{\rho}) &= \frac{\tilde{\rho}[1 - f_b(\rho(\tilde{\rho}))]}{\rho(\tilde{\rho})} = \frac{\tilde{\rho}^\sigma}{b^{2\sigma}} \left(1 + \frac{\tilde{\rho}^\sigma}{4b^{2\sigma}} \right)^{2/\sigma-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

According to (2.19) we obtain the perfect fluid part of the energy-momentum tensor (3.1) by reading off the $\tilde{\rho}^\sigma$ coefficient of $g(z, \tilde{\rho})$,

$$T_{0\mu\nu} = 2\sigma L_{AdS} g_{(2\sigma)\mu\nu} = L_{AdS} b^{-2\sigma} (\eta_{\mu\nu} + 2\sigma u_\mu u_\nu), \quad (5.5)$$

using the definition of b in (4.2). The horizon in Fefferman-Graham coordinates is at $\tilde{\rho} = \tilde{\rho}_h \equiv 2^{2/\sigma} b^2$, where $g(\tilde{\rho}_h, z)_{\mu\nu}$ becomes non-invertible since $A(\tilde{\rho}_h) = B(\tilde{\rho}_h)$.

If $u_\mu(z)$ and $b(z)$ in (5.3) become dependent on the boundary coordinates z^μ we have to introduce corrections to the metric at each order in the derivative expansion to still satisfy the equations of motion. At first order we perturb the metric as

$$g(z, \tilde{\rho}) = g_0(z, \tilde{\rho}) + g_1(z, \tilde{\rho}), \quad (5.6)$$

where $g_0(z, \tilde{\rho})$ is given by (5.3),

$$g_0(z, \tilde{\rho})_{ij} = A(b(z), \tilde{\rho})\eta_{ij} + B(b(z), \tilde{\rho})u_i(z)u_j(z). \quad (5.7)$$

The equations of motion (2.26) and (2.28) become

$$-\frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}g_0^{-1}g'_0g_0^{-1}g'_1 + \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}g_0^{-1}g_1g_0^{-1}g'_0g_0^{-1}g'_0 + \frac{1}{2}(\text{Tr}g_0^{-1}g''_1 - \text{Tr}g_0^{-1}g_1g_0^{-1}g''_0) = 0, \quad (5.8)$$

$$2\tilde{\rho}(g''_1 - g'_1g_0^{-1}g'_0 - g'_0g_0^{-1}g'_1 + g'_0g_0^{-1}g_1g_0^{-1}g'_0) - 2(\sigma - 1)g'_1 \\ + \text{Tr}g_0^{-1}g'_0(\tilde{\rho}g'_1 - g_1) + (\text{Tr}g_0^{-1}g'_1 - \text{Tr}g_0^{-1}g_1g_0^{-1}g'_0)(\tilde{\rho}g'_0 - g_0) = 0, \quad (5.9)$$

where now the prime denotes differentiation with respect to the Fefferman-Graham radial variable $\tilde{\rho}$. At first order in the derivative expansion, $Ric(g)$ in (2.28) does not contribute since it contains at least two derivatives of z .

At every order in the derivative expansion, the constraint equation (2.27) at small $\tilde{\rho}$ is equivalent to the conservation of the dual energy-momentum tensor. However, if this equation is fulfilled on a radial hypersurface close to the boundary, the evolution equations (2.26) and (2.28) ensure that it remains fulfilled in the interior. Only the equations (5.8) and (5.9) constrain the form of the metric perturbation further and with it also the form of the dual hydrodynamic stress tensor. In section 6 though, where we transform the perturbed metric to Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates, it will be convenient to use the conservation equation of the (perfect fluid) energy-momentum tensor to relate derivatives of the temperature field to derivatives of the velocity field.

The perturbations $g_{1\mu\nu}$ will contain first derivatives of u_μ and its order σ term will correct the energy momentum tensor by $T_{\mu\nu} = T_{0\mu\nu} + T_{1\mu\nu}$, where $T_{0\mu\nu}$ is the perfect fluid energy-momentum tensor (5.5) and $T_{1\mu\nu}$ the dissipative part at first derivative order. Since $T^\mu_\mu = 0$ in the conformal case and since we can always go to Landau gauge $u^\mu T_{1\mu\nu} = 0$ by a redefinition of the temperature and velocity field, $T_{1\mu\nu}$ will be given by

$$T_{1\mu\nu} = -2\eta_{2\sigma}\sigma_{\mu\nu}, \quad (5.10)$$

where the parameter $\eta_{2\sigma}$ is the shear viscosity. Only for a specific value of the shear viscosity, the bulk solution will be smooth at the horizon of the black brane. However, in Fefferman-Graham coordinates the metric becomes non-invertible at the horizon. Fixing the value of $\eta_{2\sigma}$ will require changing to Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates, which we do in section 6 below. In the meantime we parametrize $\eta_{2\sigma}$ as

$$\eta_{2\sigma} = L_{AdS} \gamma b^{1-2\sigma}, \quad (5.11)$$

where $\eta_{2\sigma}$ fulfilling $\eta_{2\sigma}/s_{2\sigma} = 1/4\pi$ corresponds to $\gamma = 1$.

The form of the metric perturbation $g_{1\mu\nu}$ can now be determined using the following argument [28]. As is shown in appendix A, the derivatives in the $\tilde{\rho}$ expansion of the metric always enter in pairs, see (A.3), which implies that the $\tilde{\rho}$ -expansion of the metric perturbation $g_{1\mu\nu}$ at first derivative order will only contain non-derivative terms of the form $(T_0^p T_1^q)$. Due to the Landau gauge condition $u^\mu T_{1\mu\nu} = 0$ and the tracelessness condition $T_{1\mu}^\mu = 0$ only the $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ part inside $(T_0^p)_{\mu\nu}$ contributes to the coefficients of $g_{1\mu\nu}$ at each order in $\tilde{\rho}$. Thus, each coefficient in the expansion of $g_{1\mu\nu}$ will be proportional to $T_{1\mu\nu}$. Hence also $g_{1\mu\nu}$ as a whole will be proportional to $T_{1\mu\nu}$, which in the conformal case only contains a shear part,

$$g_{1\mu\nu} = \lambda(\tilde{\rho}) \sigma_{\mu\nu}. \quad (5.12)$$

Extracting the transverse, traceless mode proportional to $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$ out of (5.9) we obtain a second order ordinary differential equation in $\lambda(\tilde{\rho})$

$$2\tilde{\rho}(\lambda'' - 2\frac{A'}{A}\lambda' + \frac{A'^2}{A^2}\lambda) - 2(\sigma - 1)\lambda' + \text{Tr}g_0^{-1}g'_0(\tilde{\rho}\lambda' - \lambda) = 0, \quad (5.13)$$

whose asymptotically vanishing solution is given by

$$\lambda(\tilde{\rho}) = C_\lambda \left(1 + \frac{\tilde{\rho}^\sigma}{4b^{2\sigma}}\right)^{2/\sigma} \log \frac{1 - \frac{\tilde{\rho}^\sigma}{4b^{2\sigma}}}{1 + \frac{\tilde{\rho}^\sigma}{4b^{2\sigma}}} = C_\lambda A(\tilde{\rho}) \log \frac{2 - A(\tilde{\rho})^{\sigma/2}}{A(\tilde{\rho})^{\sigma/2}}. \quad (5.14)$$

To fix the integration constant C_λ we demand that the order σ term of $\lambda(\tilde{\rho})$ in the Taylor expansion in $\tilde{\rho}$ reproduces $T_{1\mu\nu}$,

$$C_\lambda = \frac{2\eta_{2\sigma}}{\sigma L_{AdS}} b^{2\sigma} = \frac{2\gamma b}{\sigma}. \quad (5.15)$$

The metric in Fefferman-Graham coordinates in the conformal case up to first order is then

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \frac{d\tilde{\rho}^2}{4\tilde{\rho}^2} + \frac{g_{\mu\nu}(z, \tilde{\rho}) dz^\mu dz^\nu}{\tilde{\rho}}, \\ g_{\mu\nu}(z, \tilde{\rho}) &= A(\tilde{\rho}) \eta_{\mu\nu} + B(\tilde{\rho}) u_\mu u_\nu + \lambda(\tilde{\rho}) \sigma_{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

The whole discussion can be straightforwardly generalized to the nonconformal case. Starting from (4.5) we change to Fefferman-Graham coordinates, perturb metric and scalar as

$$\begin{aligned} g(z, \tilde{\rho}) &= g_0(z, \tilde{\rho}) + g_1(z, \tilde{\rho}), \\ \kappa(z, \tilde{\rho}) &= \kappa_0(z, \tilde{\rho}) + \kappa_1(z, \tilde{\rho}), \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

to obtain perturbation equations around (2.9), (2.11) and (2.12):

$$-\frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}g_0^{-1}g'_0g_0^{-1}g'_1 + \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}g_0^{-1}g_1g_0^{-1}g'_0g_0^{-1}g'_0 \quad (5.18)$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}(\text{Tr}g_0^{-1}g_1'' - \text{Tr}g_0^{-1}g_1g_0^{-1}g_0'') + \kappa_1'' - \frac{1}{\alpha\gamma}\kappa_0'\kappa_1' = 0, \quad (5.19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2\tilde{\rho}(g_1'' - g_1'g_0^{-1}g'_0 - g_0'g_0^{-1}g'_1 + g_0'g_0^{-1}g_1g_0^{-1}g'_0) - 2(\sigma - 1)g_1' \\ + (\text{Tr}g_0^{-1}g'_0 + 2\kappa_0')(\tilde{\rho}g_1' - g_1) \\ + (\text{Tr}g_0^{-1}g_1' - \text{Tr}g_0^{-1}g_1g_0^{-1}g'_0 + 2\kappa_1')(\tilde{\rho}g_0' - g_0) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 4\tilde{\rho}(\kappa_1'' + 2\kappa_1'\kappa_0') + 2(d - 4\sigma + 2)\kappa_1' \\ + (\text{Tr}g_0^{-1}g_1' - \text{Tr}g_0^{-1}g_1g_0^{-1}g'_0)(2\alpha\gamma + 2\tilde{\rho}\kappa_0') + 2\tilde{\rho}\kappa_1'\text{Tr}g_0^{-1}g'_0 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

However, as we know the first order solution (5.16) in the conformal case, we can again obtain the first order solution for metric and scalar in the non-conformal case by dimensional reduction using the ansatz (2.15):

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ij}(z, \tilde{\rho}) &= A(\tilde{\rho})\eta_{ij} + B(\tilde{\rho})u_iu_j + \lambda(\tilde{\rho})\sigma_{ij} + \frac{2\sigma - d}{(d - 1)(2\sigma - 1)}\lambda(\tilde{\rho})P_{ij}(\partial \cdot u), \\ \exp\left(\frac{2\kappa(z, \tilde{\rho})}{2\sigma - d}\right) &= A(\tilde{\rho}) - \frac{\lambda(\tilde{\rho})}{2\sigma - 1}(\partial \cdot u). \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

From (5.21) we can read off

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ij}(z, \tilde{\rho}) &= A(\tilde{\rho})\eta_{ij} + B(\tilde{\rho})u_i(z)u_j(z), \\ \kappa_0(z, \tilde{\rho}) &= \frac{2\sigma - d}{2}\log A(\tilde{\rho}), \\ g_1(z, \tilde{\rho})_{ij} &= \lambda(\tilde{\rho})\sigma_{ij} + \frac{2\sigma - d}{(d - 1)(2\sigma - 1)}\lambda(\tilde{\rho})P_{ij}(\partial \cdot u), \\ \kappa_1(z, \tilde{\rho}) &= -\frac{2\sigma - d}{2(2\sigma - 1)A(\tilde{\rho})}\lambda(\tilde{\rho})(\partial \cdot u), \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

which can be straightforwardly shown to be a solution of (5.18) - (5.20). Finally, by extracting the order σ term, it can be checked that the metric in (5.21) gives rise to the non-conformal energy momentum tensor and scalar vev given in (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ij} &= \frac{L}{b^{2\sigma}}(g_{(0)ij} + 2\sigma u_iu_j) - 2\eta_d\sigma_{ij} - \zeta_d P_{ij}(\partial \cdot u), \\ \langle \mathcal{O}_\phi \rangle &= -\frac{L}{b^{2\sigma}} - \frac{2}{2\sigma - 1}\eta_d(\partial \cdot u). \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

6 Transformation to Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates

We have thus found that the first order perturbation results in a hydrodynamic stress energy tensor and vev for the operator $\mathcal{O}_\phi$ that are parametrized by the shear viscosity η_d , which at this point is unconstrained. The bulk viscosity ζ_d is fixed in a way prescribed by the dilation Ward identity. Now recall that the source and the vev are a conjugate pair with the vev being the (renormalized) radial canonical momentum [31, 32] so specifying them yields in principle a unique bulk solution. Not all these solutions however will be non-singular. Regularity in the interior in general leads to additional restrictions.

We now discuss the constraints imposed by the smoothness of the gravity solution in the bulk. This requires changing to Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates, which are well-defined beyond the horizon. In the conformal case the metric in the Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates will be of the form

$$ds^2 = -2u_\mu(x)drdx^\mu + G_{\mu\nu}(x, r)dx^\mu dx^\nu, \quad (6.1)$$

and the transformation equations for the metric between Fefferman-Graham of the form (2.8) and Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates are given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_r \tilde{\rho})^2 + 4\tilde{\rho} g_{\mu\nu}(z, \tilde{\rho}) \partial_r z^\mu \partial_r z^\nu &= 0, \\ \partial_r \tilde{\rho} \partial_\mu \tilde{\rho} + 4\tilde{\rho} \partial_r z^\kappa \partial_\mu z^\lambda g_{\kappa\lambda}(z, \tilde{\rho}) &= -4\tilde{\rho}^2 u_\mu, \\ \partial_\mu \tilde{\rho} \partial_\nu \tilde{\rho} + 4\tilde{\rho} \partial_\mu z^\kappa \partial_\nu z^\lambda g_{\kappa\lambda}(z, \tilde{\rho}) &= 4\tilde{\rho}^2 G_{\mu\nu}(x, \rho), \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

where $\tilde{\rho}(x, r)$ and $z^\mu(x, r)$ encode the dependence of the Fefferman-Graham coordinates on the Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates. Given a solution in Fefferman-Graham coordinates we use (6.2) to solve for $\tilde{\rho}(x, r)$, $z^\mu(x, r)$ and $G_{\mu\nu}(x, \rho)$. At zeroth order in the derivative expansion, the transformation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}_0(r) &= \tilde{\rho}(\rho = 1/r^2) = \left(\frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{f_b(r)}} \right)^{2/\sigma} \frac{1}{r^2}, \\ z_0^\mu(r) &= x^\mu + u^\mu k_b(r), \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

where

$$f_b(r) \equiv f_b(\rho = 1/r^2) = 1 - (br)^{-2\sigma}, \quad k_b(r) \equiv \frac{1}{r} {}_2F_1\left(1, \frac{1}{2\sigma}; 1 + \frac{1}{2\sigma}; (br)^{-2\sigma}\right), \quad (6.4)$$

with ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; w)$ a hypergeometric function. Note that the radial coordinate r in the Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates is related to the radial coordinate ρ in the original black

brane solution (4.5) simply by $\rho = 1/r^2$. Furthermore $\tilde{\rho}(r)$ and $k_b(r)$ obey the first order differential equations

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_r \tilde{\rho} &= -\frac{2\tilde{\rho}}{r\sqrt{f_b(r)}}, \\ \partial_r k_b &= -\frac{1}{r^2 f_b(r)}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.5}$$

$G_{0\mu\nu}(x, r)$ is given by

$$G_{0\mu\nu} = r^2[\eta_{\mu\nu} + (f_b(r) - 1)u_\mu u_\nu].\tag{6.6}$$

At first order in the derivative expansion we perturb the Fefferman-Graham coordinates in the transformation equations (6.2) by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\rho}(x, r) &= \tilde{\rho}_0(x, r) + \tilde{\rho}_1(x, r), \\ z^\mu(x, r) &= z_0^\mu(x, r) + z_1^\mu(x, r),\end{aligned}\tag{6.7}$$

while $G_{\mu\nu}(x, r)$ is expanded as

$$G_{\mu\nu}(x, r) = G_{0\mu\nu}(x, r) + G_{1\mu\nu}(x, r).\tag{6.8}$$

At the same time, we use for the Fefferman-Graham metric the full first order expression $g_{\mu\nu}(z, \tilde{\rho}) = g_{0\mu\nu}(z, \tilde{\rho}) + g_{1\mu\nu}(z, \tilde{\rho})$ in (5.16). Note that the zeroth order expressions $\tilde{\rho}_0$, z_0^μ , $G_{0\mu\nu}$ and $g_{0\mu\nu}(z, \tilde{\rho})$ depend also on x through their dependence on $b(z)$ and $u_\mu(z)$, which now have been made dependent on z . Furthermore, we have to account for the transverse coordinates change from z^μ to x^μ in (6.3) and Taylor expand $b(z)$ and $u_\mu(z)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}b(z) &= b(x) + u^\mu(x)k_b(r)\partial_\mu b(x), \\ u_\mu(z) &= u_\mu(x) + u^\nu(x)k_b(r)\partial_\nu u_\mu(x).\end{aligned}\tag{6.9}$$

The tensor $g_{\mu\nu}(z, \tilde{\rho})$ we expand both in transverse coordinates and in $\tilde{\rho}$

$$g_{\mu\nu}(z, \tilde{\rho}) = g_{\mu\nu}(x, r) + u^\lambda(x)k_b(r)\partial_\lambda g_{\mu\nu}(x, r) + \tilde{\rho}_1(x, r)\partial_r g_{\mu\nu}(x, r).$$

As mentioned above, the derivatives $\partial_\mu b(x)$ can be converted into derivatives of the fluid velocity $\partial_\mu u_\nu(x)$ by the continuity equation

$$\partial_\mu b = b\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma - 1}u_\mu(\partial \cdot u) + (u \cdot \partial)u_\mu\right),\tag{6.10}$$

which follows from the conservation of the perfect fluid energy-momentum tensor (5.5), $\partial^\mu T_{0\mu\nu} = 0$, or equivalently from the divergence equation (2.27) at order σ .

Putting everything together we obtain the transformation to first order in derivatives,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\rho}(x, r) &= \tilde{\rho}_0(1 + k_b \frac{\partial \cdot u}{2\sigma - 1}), \\ z^\mu(x, r) &= x^\mu + u^\mu k_b + u^\mu \frac{\partial \cdot u}{2\sigma - 1} l(r) + (u \cdot \partial) u^\mu m(r),\end{aligned}\tag{6.11}$$

where $l(r)$, $m(r)$ satisfy the differential equations

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dl}{dr} &= -\frac{k_b(r)}{r^2 f_b(r)} - \frac{1}{r^3 (f_b(r))^{3/2}}, \\ \frac{dm}{dr} &= -\frac{k_b(r)}{r^2 f_b(r)} + \frac{1}{r^3 \sqrt{f_b(r)}},\end{aligned}\tag{6.12}$$

with the boundary condition that they vanish for $r \rightarrow \infty$. The metric in Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates up to first derivative order is then given by

$$\begin{aligned}G_{\mu\nu}(x, r) &= r^2[\eta_{\mu\nu} + (f_b(r) - 1)u_\mu u_\nu] \\ &\quad - \chi_b(r)\sigma_{\mu\nu} - \frac{2r}{2\sigma - 1}u_\mu u_\nu(\partial \cdot u) + r(u \cdot \partial)(u_\mu u_\nu),\end{aligned}\tag{6.13}$$

where

$$\chi_b(r) = \frac{2A(\tilde{\rho}_0(r))k_b(r) + \lambda(\tilde{\rho}(r))}{\tilde{\rho}_0(r)} = r^2 \left[2k_b(r) + \frac{2\gamma b}{\sigma} \log \frac{2 - A^{\sigma/2}}{A^{\sigma/2}} \right].\tag{6.14}$$

Near the horizon $r \rightarrow 1/b$, the hypergeometric function in the definition of $k_b(r)$ in (6.4) develops a logarithmic divergence of the form [34]

$${}_2F_1(x, y; x + y; w) = -\frac{\Gamma(x + y)}{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)} \log(w - 1) + \text{finite},\tag{6.15}$$

and $\chi_b(r)$ becomes

$$\chi_b \rightarrow \frac{(\gamma - 1)}{\sigma b} \log(r - \frac{1}{b}) + \text{finite}.\tag{6.16}$$

Hence the divergence in $\chi_b(r)$ cancels precisely if $\gamma = 1$, ie. the shear viscosity to entropy density bound $\eta_{2\sigma}/s_{2\sigma} \geq 1/4\pi$ is saturated.

In the non-conformal case, the transformation from Fefferman-Graham to Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates is given by the same coordinate transformations (6.3) and (6.11). By either transforming (5.21) or by dimensionally reducing (6.13) according to the reduction ansatz (2.15) we obtain the metric and scalar to first derivative order in Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates:

$$G_{ij} = r^2[\eta_{ij} + (f_b(r) - 1)u_i u_j] - \chi_b(r) \left[\sigma_{ij} + \frac{2\sigma - d}{(d - 1)(2\sigma - 1)} P_{ij}(\partial \cdot u) \right]\tag{6.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\quad - \frac{2r}{2\sigma - 1} u_i u_j (\partial \cdot u) + r(u \cdot \partial)(u_i u_j), \\ \phi &= \frac{2\sigma - d}{2} \left[\log r^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma - 1} \frac{\chi_b(r)}{r^2} (\partial \cdot u) \right].\end{aligned}\tag{6.18}$$

In particular, we see that the condition for the scalar and metric of the non-conformal solution to be smooth at the horizon is identical to the smoothness condition in the conformal case, namely that $\gamma = 1$ in the definition of $\chi_b(r)$ in (6.14). Hence as expected also in the non-conformal case the bound $\eta_d/s_d \geq 1/4\pi$ is saturated for arbitrary σ .

In contrast, the fixed value of the ratio of the bulk to shear viscosity $\zeta_d/\eta_d = 2(1/(d-1) - c_s^2)$ does not follow from a smoothness condition but instead it follows from the equation of motions away from the horizon of the black brane. As mentioned in section 3 and in the introduction, it is a consequence of the generalized conformal structure established by the Ward identity (2.14).

7 Discussion

In this note we have shown that the universal sector of solutions asymptotic to non-conformal brane geometries can be obtained by dimensionally reducing asymptotically AdS pure gravity solutions and continuing the number of dimensions of the higher-dimensional geometry. As a consequence, the hydrodynamics dual to non-conformal black branes is fully determined in terms of the hydrodynamics dual to conformal black branes. We used this relation to rederive the first order contribution to the non-conformal hydrodynamic stress tensor and predict the second order contributions. As expected, the KSS bound [27] for the ratio between shear viscosity and entropy density is always saturated. Furthermore we re-confirm that also the bound between shear and bulk viscosity proposed by [17] is saturated. We show however that the saturation of this bound for non-conformal brane geometries follows from the generalized conformal symmetry, which indicates that it is of kinematical origin, unlike the KSS bound.

It would be interesting to explore whether the relation between conformal and non-conformal brane backgrounds also holds at the higher derivative level. Corrections to the KSS ratio for higher derivative bulk actions dual to conformal fluids have been investigated in [35]. The generalized conformal structure in non-conformal brane geometries is expected to hold for arbitrary coupling, although it is not clear whether it would always descend from a higher dimensional conformal structure. For the cases of D4 branes and fundamental strings, this would be the case since this is just the M-theory uplift, so at least in these cases the ratio of bulk to shear viscosity should not receive any corrections at the higher derivative level.

On a more general level, one might wonder which further generalization of the AdS/CFT dictionary can be found by compactifying asymptotically AdS spaces on other manifolds

or more general tori. In such a setup, the lower-dimensional geometry will automatically inherit many holographic results from the higher-dimensional asymptotically AdS case. Applied to hydrodynamics, one might obtain in this way non-conformal fluids with interesting properties.

Finally, it would be interesting to explore the hydrodynamics of non-conformal non-relativistic field theories. Hydrodynamics of conformal non-relativistic field theories have been explored by [36]. By compactification one might be able to obtain non-conformal generalizations.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Paul McFadden and Marika Taylor for useful discussions. IK is supported by NWO, via the Vidi grant “Holography, duality and time dependence in string theory”. KS would like to thank KITP for hospitality during the completion of this work. This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. NSF PHY05-51164.

A The asymptotic expansion of metric and scalar beyond the non-local mode

A general result that is most easily seen using the radial Hamiltonian formalism [31, 32] is that a bulk solution is uniquely specified by the holographic vevs. The reason is that the vevs are the radial canonical momenta and the sources the corresponding coordinates. Thus specifying the source and the vev is equivalent to specifying a point in the phase space of the theory, which is equivalent to specifying a full solution.

A special case that is relevant for us is the gravity/scalar system for the non-conformal branes with the boundary metric taken to be flat, $g_{(0)ij} = \eta_{ij}$, and $\kappa_{(0)} = 0$. Then all subleading terms in the expansion (2.13) up to order σ including the logarithmic term vanish, since they depend on derivatives of the sources $g_{(0)}$ and $\kappa_{(0)}$. The order σ terms will be given by

$$g_{(2\sigma)ij} = \frac{1}{2\sigma L} T_{ij}, \quad \kappa_{(2\sigma)} = -\frac{1}{4\sigma L} T_i^i, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where T_{ij} and T_i^i denote the vev of the dual energy-momentum tensor and its trace, and $\kappa_{(2\sigma)}$ is determined by the requirement that the generalized conformal Ward identity (2.14) is satisfied. The higher order terms in Fefferman-Graham expansion are then determined in

terms of T_{ij} . We will need the schematic form of these coefficients. The non-linear equations of motion induce the expansion

$$\begin{aligned} g(z, \rho)_{ij} &= \eta_{ij} + \sum_{\tau=n\sigma+m} \rho^\tau g_{(2\tau)ij}, \\ \kappa(z, \rho) &= \kappa_{(0)} + \sum_{\tau=n\sigma+m} \rho^\tau \kappa_{(2\tau)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where $n > 1$ and $m > 0$ in the summation are positive integers. Suppressing the index structure, the higher order terms are schematically of the form

$$g_{(2\tau)ij} \propto \sum_{n\sigma+m=\tau} a_{n,m} (\partial^{2m} T^n)_{ij}, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

and similarly for $\kappa_{(2n\sigma+2m)}$, due to dimensional considerations. In particular, if T_{ij} is constant, only the coefficients with $\tau = n\sigma$ are non-zero. Once T_{ij} becomes dependent on the boundary coordinates, the transverse derivatives in (A.3) always enter in pairs. This fact is used in section 5 to restrict the form of the first order derivative correction to the metric.

References

- [1] S. Bhattacharyya, V. E. Hubeny, S. Minwalla and M. Rangamani, “Nonlinear Fluid Dynamics from Gravity,” JHEP **0802**, 045 (2008) [arXiv:0712.2456 [hep-th]].
- [2] M. Van Raamsdonk, “Black Hole Dynamics From Atmospheric Science,” JHEP **0805**, 106 (2008) [arXiv:0802.3224 [hep-th]].
- [3] S. Bhattacharyya *et al.*, “Local Fluid Dynamical Entropy from Gravity,” JHEP **0806** (2008) 055 [arXiv:0803.2526 [hep-th]].
- [4] M. Haack and A. Yarom, “Nonlinear viscous hydrodynamics in various dimensions using AdS/CFT,” JHEP **0810**, 063 (2008) [arXiv:0806.4602 [hep-th]].
- [5] S. Bhattacharyya, R. Loganayagam, I. Mandal, S. Minwalla and A. Sharma, “Conformal Nonlinear Fluid Dynamics from Gravity in Arbitrary Dimensions,” arXiv:0809.4272 [hep-th].
- [6] J. Erdmenger, M. Haack, M. Kaminski and A. Yarom, “Fluid dynamics of R-charged black holes,” arXiv:0809.2488 [hep-th].
- [7] N. Banerjee, J. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharyya, S. Dutta, R. Loganayagam and P. Surowka, “Hydrodynamics from charged black branes,” arXiv:0809.2596 [hep-th].

- [8] M. Haack and A. Yarom, “Universality of second order transport coefficients from the gauge-string duality,” arXiv:0811.1794 [hep-th].
- [9] S. Bhattacharyya, R. Loganayagam, S. Minwalla, S. Nampuri, S. P. Trivedi and S. R. Wadia, “Forced Fluid Dynamics from Gravity,” arXiv:0806.0006 [hep-th].
- [10] N. Izhaki, J. M. Maldacena, J. Sonnenschein and S. Yankielowicz, “Supergravity and the large N limit of theories with sixteen supercharges,” Phys. Rev. D **58** (1998) 046004 [arXiv:hep-th/9802042].
- [11] T. Wiseman and B. Withers, “Holographic renormalization for coincident Dp-branes,” JHEP **0810** (2008) 037 [arXiv:0807.0755 [hep-th]].
- [12] I. Kanitscheider, K. Skenderis and M. Taylor, “Precision holography for non-conformal branes,” JHEP **0809** (2008) 094 [arXiv:0807.3324 [hep-th]].
- [13] G. Policastro, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, “The shear viscosity of strongly coupled N = 4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills plasma,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **87** (2001) 081601 [arXiv:hep-th/0104066].
- [14] G. Policastro, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, “From AdS/CFT correspondence to hydrodynamics,” JHEP **0209** (2002) 043 [arXiv:hep-th/0205052].
- [15] P. Benincasa and A. Buchel, “Hydrodynamics of Sakai-Sugimoto model in the quenched approximation,” Phys. Lett. B **640** (2006) 108 [arXiv:hep-th/0605076].
- [16] J. Mas and J. Tarrio, “Hydrodynamics from the Dp-brane,” JHEP **0705** (2007) 036 [arXiv:hep-th/0703093].
- [17] A. Buchel, “Bulk viscosity of gauge theory plasma at strong coupling,” Phys. Lett. B **663** (2008) 286 [arXiv:0708.3459 [hep-th]].
- [18] M. Natsuume and T. Okamura, “Causal hydrodynamics of gauge theory plasmas from AdS/CFT duality,” Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 066014 [Erratum-ibid. D **78** (2008) 089902] [arXiv:0712.2916 [hep-th]].
- [19] A. Parnachev and A. Starinets, “The silence of the little strings,” JHEP **0510**, 027 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0506144].
- [20] P. Benincasa, A. Buchel and A. O. Starinets, “Sound waves in strongly coupled non-conformal gauge theory plasma,” Nucl. Phys. B **733**, 160 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0507026].

- [21] S. S. Gubser, S. S. Pufu and F. D. Rocha, “Bulk viscosity of strongly coupled plasmas with holographic duals,” *JHEP* **0808** (2008) 085 [arXiv:0806.0407 [hep-th]].
- [22] H. J. Boonstra, K. Skenderis and P. K. Townsend, “The domain wall/QFT correspondence,” *JHEP* **9901** (1999) 003 [arXiv:hep-th/9807137]; K. Skenderis, “Field theory limit of branes and gauged supergravities,” *Fortsch. Phys.* **48**, 205 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9903003].
- [23] S. de Haro, S. N. Solodukhin and K. Skenderis, “Holographic reconstruction of space-time and renormalization in the AdS/CFT correspondence,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **217**, 595 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0002230].
- [24] A. Jevicki and T. Yoneya, “Space-time uncertainty principle and conformal symmetry in D-particle dynamics,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **535**, 335 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9805069].
- [25] A. Jevicki, Y. Kazama and T. Yoneya, “Quantum metamorphosis of conformal transformation in D3-brane Yang-Mills theory,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 5072 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9808039].
- [26] A. Jevicki, Y. Kazama and T. Yoneya, “Generalized conformal symmetry in D-brane matrix models,” *Phys. Rev. D* **59** (1999) 066001 [arXiv:hep-th/9810146].
- [27] P. Kovtun, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, “Holography and hydrodynamics: Diffusion on stretched horizons,” *JHEP* **0310** (2003) 064 [arXiv:hep-th/0309213]; “Viscosity in strongly interacting quantum field theories from black hole physics,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 111601 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0405231].
- [28] R. K. Gupta and A. Mukhopadhyay, “On the universal hydrodynamics of strongly coupled CFTs with gravity duals,” arXiv:0810.4851 [hep-th].
- [29] K. Skenderis, “Lecture notes on holographic renormalization,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **19** (2002) 5849 [arXiv:hep-th/0209067].
- [30] M. Henningson and K. Skenderis, “The holographic Weyl anomaly,” *JHEP* **9807**, 023 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9806087]; “Holography and the Weyl anomaly,” *Fortsch. Phys.* **48**, 125 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9812032].
- [31] I. Papadimitriou and K. Skenderis, “AdS / CFT correspondence and geometry,” in *Proceedings *Strasbourg 2003, AdS/CFT correspondence**, IRMA lectures in mathematics and theoretical physics 8, Ed. O. Biquard, p. 73-101, arXiv:hep-th/0404176.

- [32] I. Papadimitriou and K. Skenderis, “Correlation functions in holographic RG flows,” *JHEP* **0410** (2004) 075 [arXiv:hep-th/0407071].
- [33] R. Baier, P. Romatschke, D. T. Son, A. O. Starinets and M. A. Stephanov, “Relativistic viscous hydrodynamics, conformal invariance, and holography,” *JHEP* **0804** (2008) 100 [arXiv:0712.2451 [hep-th]].
- [34] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, (Dover, New York, 1972), p.559, 15.3.10.
- [35] A. Buchel, “Shear viscosity of boost invariant plasma at finite coupling,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **802** (2008) 281 [arXiv:0801.4421 [hep-th]]. A. Buchel, “Resolving disagreement for η/s in a CFT plasma at finite coupling,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **803** (2008) 166 [arXiv:0805.2683 [hep-th]]. A. Buchel, R. C. Myers, M. F. Paulos and A. Sinha, “Universal holographic hydrodynamics at finite coupling,” *Phys. Lett. B* **669** (2008) 364 [arXiv:0808.1837 [hep-th]]. M. Brigante, H. Liu, R. C. Myers, S. Shenker and S. Yaida, “Viscosity Bound Violation in Higher Derivative Gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 126006 [arXiv:0712.0805 [hep-th]]. M. Brigante, H. Liu, R. C. Myers, S. Shenker and S. Yaida, “The Viscosity Bound and Causality Violation,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100** (2008) 191601 [arXiv:0802.3318 [hep-th]]. Y. Kats and P. Petrov, “Effect of curvature squared corrections in AdS on the viscosity of the dual gauge theory,” arXiv:0712.0743 [hep-th].
- [36] M. Rangamani, S. F. Ross, D. T. Son and E. G. Thompson, “Conformal non-relativistic hydrodynamics from gravity,” arXiv:0811.2049 [hep-th].